



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.								
10/685,237	10/14/2003	Kenneth B. Stokes	P-3586.01 Continuation 3	4635								
7590 Kenneth J. Collier Medtronic, Inc. 710 Medtronic Parkway N.E. Minneapolis, MN 55432		01/30/2008	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>3762</td><td></td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3762	
EXAMINER												
KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM												
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER											
3762												
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>01/30/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	01/30/2008	PAPER					
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE											
01/30/2008	PAPER											

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/685,237

Applicant(s)

STOKES ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Kahelin

Art Unit

3762

## All Participants:

(1) Michael Kahelin.

(2) Kenneth Collier.

Status of Application: pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 22 January 2008

Time: 3pm

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

36

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

GEORGE R. EVANISKO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/25/08

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 36 does not include a positive recitation of genetic material or protein, thus only further limits the functional limitation on the implantable delivery means. Applicant indicated that the claim is meant to positively recite the genetic material or protein, and that the claim will be amended accordingly in the next response to the PTO.